

Soybean Disease Situation And The Potential For Economic Return Of Foliar Fungicide Application



DR. DON HERSHMAN

PRINCETON, KY.

Recently I was reading an article on the Plant Management Network (HYPERLINK "http://www.plantmanagementnetwork.org/pub/php/news/2012/TimelyFungicideApplication/"http://www.plantmanagementnetwork.org/pub/php/news/2012/TimelyFungicideApplication/) regarding the timely use of foliar fungicides to achieve maximum effect on soybean. The article stated that the recent mild winter has resulted in an increased disease risk that growers should take into consideration when making fungicide use decisions. I am sure that some parts of the country probably do have an increased disease risk as a result of

tucky. I will concede that the mild winter may have potentially increased the risk of insect-vector virus diseases, such as bean pod mottle virus (transmitted by bean leaf beetles) and soybean mosaic virus (aphid-transmitted); however, these viruses are not controlled by foliar fungicides. Of course, the mild winter did favor survival of the soybean rust pathogen in the deep South, but our winter, although mild, was still too cold to allow soybean rust to survive the winter in Kentucky.

CONCLUSION

I do not believe that the mild winter of 2011-12 has resulted in greater than normal potential



Fig. 1. Common soybean foliar, pod, and stem diseases caused by fungi. A) Cercospora leaf blight; B) anthracnose and pod and stem blight; C) frogeye leaf spot; D) Septoria brown spot

Photo credit: D. Hershman

the mild winter,

but I do not believe Kentucky is among them.

In most years, foliar, pod and stem (FPS) fungal diseases of soybean (Fig. 1) are held in check by planting adapted varieties (mostly MG 4 varieties), avoiding extremely early planting dates (full season crops) or planting late (doublecrop soybean), crop rotation, limited-sporadic rainfall and high temperatures during the summer months, and timely harvest. Typically, measurable yield losses caused FPS diseases – the targets of foliar fungicides – are limited to certain environments like river-bottoms, fields prone to extended periods of dew or fog, and continuous soybean fields. Early maturing varieties (mainly group 3) are often impacted by damaging levels of FPS diseases, especially when planted early. The same is true for crops that are not harvested on time. However, FPS diseases are usually not that destructive in Kentucky.

True enough, the winter of 2011-12 was very mild, even by Kentucky's standards. However, if anything, the mild conditions probably enhanced residue breakdown, thereby reducing fungal survival in weed and crop residue, due to increased microbial activity. Moreover, early planting may have been somewhat more common this year, but the higher-than-normal temperatures and limited rainfall in April to early-May likely negated the increased risk to FPS diseases normally associated with early planting. I simply cannot reconcile how the mild winter might have increased the potential for soybean FPS fungal diseases to occur in Ken-

for FPS fungal diseases to occur in Kentucky soybeans. Dry, and now extremely hot and dry, weather across most of the state has kept fungal diseases in check up to now. The soybean crop is very stressed and if some relief does not come soon, yields will be seriously hurt by drought and perhaps charcoal rot and soybean cyst nematode, but not by FPS diseases. Strobilurin-based fungicides, such as Headline® or Quadris®, or strobilurin-triazole products, such as Stratego YLD® are reported to impart some stress tolerance to treated crops. Nonetheless, it is my experience that stress tolerance benefits are simply overwhelmed when soil moisture is limiting for an extended period of time (e.g., drought). As an example, below is a table showing the results of a replicated field study when fungicides were applied during drought conditions. Note that application of a fungicide did not result in significant yield improvement compared to the check, in spite of significant reductions in late season disease. It is anyone's guess how the rest of the season will play out, but it is my opinion that it may be difficult to recover the costs associated with applying a fungicide this season unless July and August turn wet. Many doublecrop fields are still not planted due to exceptionally dry soil conditions. However, doublecrop soybeans do not generally respond well to foliar fungicides even in a season with decent moisture. Δ

DR. DONALD E. HERSHMAN: Extension Plant Pathologist, University of Kentucky